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INTRODUCTION
Neutrino Sources: Top-Down or Bottom Up?
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Figures from: Hillas '06 and Spiering '12.
# Status of (Ultra) High Energy Neutrino Detectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DUMAND</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Water Cherenkov</td>
<td>turned down 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT200+</td>
<td>Lake Baikal</td>
<td>Water Cherenkov</td>
<td>operating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GVD</td>
<td>Lake Baikal</td>
<td>Water Cherenkov</td>
<td>design phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMANDA</td>
<td>South Pole</td>
<td>Water Cherenkov</td>
<td>terminated 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IceCube</td>
<td>South Pole</td>
<td>Water Cherenkov</td>
<td>operating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTARES</td>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>Water Cherenkov</td>
<td>operating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NESTOR</td>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>Water Cherenkov</td>
<td>R&amp;D for KM3NeT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEMO</td>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>Water Cherenkov</td>
<td>R&amp;D for KM3NeT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM3Net</td>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>Water Cherenkov</td>
<td>design phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIRES</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Air shower</td>
<td>terminated 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auger</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Air shower</td>
<td>operating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Air shower</td>
<td>operating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JEM-EUSO</td>
<td>Satellite</td>
<td>Air shower</td>
<td>construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASHRA</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Air shower</td>
<td>partial operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRTNT</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Air shower</td>
<td>planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANITA</td>
<td>Antarctica (balloon)</td>
<td>Radio (ice)</td>
<td>flights continuing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICE</td>
<td>South Pole</td>
<td>Radio (ice)</td>
<td>terminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARA</td>
<td>South Pole</td>
<td>Radio (ice)</td>
<td>construction stage 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIANNA</td>
<td>Antarctic shelf</td>
<td>Radio (ice)</td>
<td>construction stage 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALSA</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>Radio (salt mine)</td>
<td>conceptual phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAUND</td>
<td>Caribbean Sea</td>
<td>Acoustic</td>
<td>terminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPATS</td>
<td>South Pole</td>
<td>Acoustic</td>
<td>test array operating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMADEUS</td>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td>Acoustic</td>
<td>test array operating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONDE</td>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td>Acoustic</td>
<td>test array finished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baikal</td>
<td>Lake Baikal</td>
<td>Acoustic</td>
<td>R&amp;D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLUE</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Radio (moon)</td>
<td>terminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMOON</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Radio (moon)</td>
<td>operating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalyzhin</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>Radio (moon)</td>
<td>operating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LORD</td>
<td>Satellite</td>
<td>Radio (moon)</td>
<td>planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FORTE</td>
<td>Satellite</td>
<td>Radio (Earth)</td>
<td>terminated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Spiering ’12.
Cosmic Necklaces: Berezinsky, Martin, Vilenkin '97; Super Heavy Dark Matter (SHDM): Berezinsky, Kachelriess, Vilenkin '98; Kuzmin, Rubakov '98; Esmaili, Ibarra, Peres '12; Cosmic String Cusps: Berezinsky, ES, Vilenkin '11; Cosmic String Kinks: Lunardini, ES '12; Superconducting Cosmic Strings: Berezinsky, ES, Olum, Vilenkin '09; Active Galactic Nuclei: Kalashev, Kuzmin, Semikoz, Sigl '02; Cosmogenic Neutrinos: Berezinsky, Zatsepin '69; Engel, Seckel, Stanev '01.
Cosmic Messengers: Ultra High Energy Neutrinos

- Neutrinos only interact weakly with the cosmic neutrino background (CνB).
- Neutrinos can propagate to us from very high redshifts, $z_\nu \sim 220E_{11}^{-2/5}$. Berezinsky '92
- Astrophysical mechanisms may produce UHE neutrinos with $E \lesssim 10^{11}$ GeV.
- Neutrinos with $E > 10^{11}$ GeV could be a signature of top-down mechanisms.

- Ultra high energy (UHE) $\nu$s provide a unique opportunity to test the fundamental interactions at these energies.
- For such high energies, only $\nu$s can make it to the Earth.
- The flux of neutrinos produced by decays of pions and kaons is constrained from above by the observed diffuse gamma ray background. Berezinsky, Smirnov '75.
PROPAGATION OF UHE NEUTRINOS
Cross Section

Cross sections depend on the Mandelstam variable $s$:

$$ s = (q^\mu + p^\mu)^2 \approx 2E(1 + z) \left[ \sqrt{p^2(1 + z)^2 + m^2_{\nu j}} - p(1 + z) \cos \theta \right], \quad (1) $$

$$ q^\mu = E(1 + z)[1, \hat{q}], \quad \leftarrow \text{UHE } \nu \quad (2) $$

$$ p^\mu = \left[ \sqrt{p^2(1 + z)^2 + m^2_{\nu j}}, p(1 + z) \right]. \quad \leftarrow \text{C}_\nu \text{B}_\nu \quad (3) $$

$m = 0.08 \text{ eV}, \ p = 0, \ \text{no thermal effects taken into account.}$

$Z^0$-Resonance: Weiler '82; $\nu$Horizon: Berezinsky '92; Propagation: Roulet '93; Fargion et al. '99; Eberle et al. '04; Thermal effects: Barenboim et al. '05, D'Olivo et al. '06.
Cosmic Neutrino Background / Thermal Effects

- The Hubble expansion rate:

\[ H(z) = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_r(1 + z)^4 + \Omega_m(1 + z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}. \]  

- Neutrinos decouple from the primordial plasma when \( \Gamma_{\text{scat}} \sim H (T_{\text{dec}} \sim 1 \text{MeV}) \):

\[ dn_{\nu}(p, z) = (1 + z)^3 \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{e^{p/T_0} + 1}. \]

- The average momentum of a background neutrino is \( p \approx 3.6 T_0 \approx 6.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV} \).

- Thermal effects become important when \( \bar{p} \ (1 + z_{\text{th}}) \sim m_j \):

\[ 1 + z_{\text{th}} \sim 16 \frac{m_j}{10^{-2} \text{ eV}}. \]
Cosmic Neutrino Background / Thermal Effects

\[ \bar{\sigma}(E; z, m_j) = \frac{\int d\nu(p, z) \sigma(E, p; m_j, z)}{\int d\nu(p, z)}. \]  

(7)

Figure: \( m = 10^{-3}\text{eV}, z = 100 \). **Blue:** C\( \nu \)B at rest, **Red:** \( p = p_{\text{rms}} \), **Purple:** \( p = p_{\text{rms}} \) averaged over angle, **Black:** \( \bar{\sigma} \rightarrow \) Averaged over all momenta and angle (this work).
Neutrino Absorption

- An UHE neutrino is absorbed when $\tau_{\alpha} = \int dt \sigma_{\nu} n_{\nu} \gtrsim 1$.

- The total non-resonant cross section at $s \gtrsim m_W^2$: $\sigma_{nr} \approx 7.8 \; G_F^2 \; m_W^2/\pi$:

$$\tau_{nr} \approx 1.0 \left( \frac{1 + z}{140} \right)^{3/2}.$$  

- The maximum value of the resonant cross section, $\sigma_r \sim 5 \times 10^{-32} \; \text{cm}^2$:

$$\tau_r \approx 1.0 \left( \frac{1 + z}{10} \right)^{3/2}.$$  

- Resonant absorption occurs at

$$E'_{\text{res}} \sim m_Z^2 / \sqrt{p_0^2 (1 + z)^2 + m_j^2}, \quad z \gtrsim z_{\text{dip}} \approx 10.$$
Flavor Averaged Transmission Probability

\[ d\Gamma_\alpha(E, z) = \sum_j |U_{\alpha j}|^2 \, d\nu_j(p, z) \, \sigma(E, p; z, m_{\nu_j}), \]  
\[ \tau_\alpha(E, z) = \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{(1 + z')H(z')} \, \Gamma_\alpha(E, z'). \]

Figure: \( m = 10^{-5} \) eV (ligthest) Blue: \( P_e \), Red: \( P_\mu \), Purple: \( P_\tau \), Black: \( P \rightarrow \) average survival probability.

\[ P_\alpha(E, z) = e^{-\tau_\alpha(E, z)}, \quad P(E, z) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_\alpha P_\alpha(E, z). \]
UHE NEUTRINO SOURCES
UHE Neutrino Flux

The number of sources, $N_s$, per comoving volume, per unit physical time, $t$:

$$\eta(z) \equiv \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d^3N_s}{d\Omega dr dt}, \quad (14)$$

The spectrum of a single source

$$\phi(E') \equiv \frac{dN_\nu}{dE'} \quad (15)$$

The diffuse observed UHE neutrino flux

$$J_\nu(E) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dz}{H(z)} P(E, z) \mathcal{L}_\nu(E', z). \quad (16)$$
Cosmic Strings

- In ‘76, Tom Kibble classified the theories with spontaneous symmetry breaking according to the topology of their vacuum configurations.
- Non-trivial topology leads to topological defects: domain walls, cosmic strings, monopoles.

- Monopoles and domain wall are problematic, but cosmic strings are compatible with the standard cosmology.
- In ‘85, Ed Witten showed for a $U(1) \times U(1)$ that strings can be superconducting.
Gauge Strings

\[ \mathcal{L} = D^*_\mu \phi^* D^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{4} \lambda (\phi^* \phi - \eta^2)^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}, \quad \phi_0 \sim \eta e^{i\theta} \] (17)

- The fundamental homotopy group of the vacuum manifold is non-trivial: \( \pi_1(U(1)) = \mathbb{Z} \).
- The model admits vortex solution.
- Cosmic strings can form via Kibble mechanism.
Cosmic String Network

- A network of cosmic string forms after the symmetry breaking with correlation length $\xi \sim t$.

- The density of long strings can be estimated as $\rho \sim \frac{\mu}{t^2}$.

Courtesy of Martins and Shellard

1http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/research/gr/public/movies/small-erc.mpg
Scalar Particle Radiation from Loops

• The effective action:

\[ S = - \int d^4x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{\sqrt{4\pi \alpha}}{m_p} \phi T_{\nu}^{\nu} \right] - \mu \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{-\gamma}. \quad (18) \]

• The power spectrum:

\[ \frac{dP_n}{d\Omega} = \frac{G \alpha^2}{2\pi} \omega_n k |T(k, \omega_n)|^2. \quad (19) \]

• The particle radiation rate from cusps and kinks Berezinsky, ES, Vilenkin '11; Lunardini, ES '12

\[ \frac{d^2 N_c}{dk dt} \sim \alpha^2 G \mu^2 L^{-1/3} k^{-7/3} \quad \text{(cusp)}, \quad (20) \]
\[ \frac{d^2 N_k}{dk dt} \sim \alpha^2 G \mu^2 k^{-2} \quad \text{(kink)}. \quad (21) \]
Superconducting Cosmic Strings

- In many field theory models, cosmic strings respond to external magnetic fields, develop currents and act as superconductors [Witten ’85].

- Superconducting strings can emit electromagnetic radiation and charge carriers.
Radiation from Superconducting Loops

- Superheavy charge carriers are ejected from parts of strings, where the current is saturated (Berezinsky, Olum, ES, Vilenkin '09).

\[
\frac{dN_X}{dt} \sim \frac{2I^2}{e I_{\text{max}}},
\]

\[
l \lesssim l_{\text{max}} \sim i_c e \eta, \quad i_c \lesssim 1.
\]

- String tension: \( G_\mu \sim \eta^2/m_p^2 \).
- Mass of the charge carrier: \( m_X \sim i_c \eta \).
Particle Radiation from Cusps and Kinks

- Particles can be emitted both from cusps and kinks on cosmic string loops.
- These particles are emitted with Lorentz factors of $\gamma_c \sim \sqrt{mL} \gg 1$ into a narrow opening angle $\theta_c \sim 1/\gamma_c$.

- The decays of these particles into gluons will create a hadronic cascade, hence numerous UHE neutrinos are produced.
Fragmentation Function

- The fragmentation function for the hadronic cascade: \( \frac{dN}{dE} \propto E^{-2} \)
  
  Berezinsky, Kachelriess '01.

- The minimum neutrino energy: \( E_{\text{min}} \sim (1 \text{ GeV}) \gamma/(1 + z) \).
Luminosity of Neutrinos from Cosmic Strings

Scalar particle radiation model: Berezinsky, ES, Vilenkin '11; Lunardini, ES '12

\[
L_{\nu}^{\text{cusp}} = 9.5 \times 10^{23} \frac{\alpha^2 (G\mu)^{1/2} \ln[(G\mu)^{1/2} m_p/m]}{p(1+z)^5} \frac{m_p}{E^2 t_p^{1/2} t(z)^{7/2}},
\]

(24)

\[
L_{\nu}^{\text{kink}} = 1 \times 10^{23} \frac{\alpha^2 (m_p/m)^{1/2}}{p(1+z)^5} \frac{m_p}{E^2 t(z)^4}.
\]

(25)

Superconducting string model: Berezinsky, Olum, ES, Vilenkin '09

\[
L_{\nu}^{\text{sup}} = 1.4 \times 10^{22} \frac{i_c f_B}{(1+z)^{5/2}} \frac{B m_p t_p^{1/2}}{E^2 t(z)^{5/2}}.
\]

(26)
Cosmic Necklaces

- Cosmic necklaces are topological defects made up of strings and monopoles, and are predicted in models with symmetry breaking sequence $G \rightarrow H \times U(1) \rightarrow H \times Z_2$.
  
  Berezinsky, Martin Vilenkin '97, Berezinsky, Vilenkin '97

- As the monopoles and antimonopoles on loops of necklaces meet, they annihilate into heavy $X$-bosons $\rightarrow$ UHE neutrinos via hadronic cascade.

$$L^n_{\nu} = \frac{\Theta[m_X - E(1 + z)]e^{-E(1+z)/m_X}}{2 \ln[m_X/(1\text{GeV})](1 + z)^6} \frac{r}{E^2 \rho t_p t(z)^3}. \quad (27)$$
The dark matter sector may consist of multiple particle species.

There could exist a long lived superheavy dark component ($X$) with small abundance, $\Omega_{\text{SHDM}} \equiv \xi X \Omega_{\text{CDM}}$ with $\xi X \ll 1$. Berezinsky '92; Berezinsky, Kachelriess, Vilenkin '97; Kuzmin, Rubakov '97; Chung, Kolb, Riotto '98; Esmaili, Ibarra, Peres '12

$$L^\text{SHDM}_\nu = \frac{3r_x \Omega_{\text{CDM}}}{16\pi} \frac{\Theta[m_X - E(1 + z)]e^{-E(1+z)/m_X}}{\ln[m_X/(1\text{GeV})](1 + z)^2} \frac{m_p H_0^2}{E^2 t_0 t_p}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)
Cosmogenic Neutrinos

The cosmic ray protons propagating in the background photons (mostly CMB) are efficiently absorbed above the GZK cutoff energy $E_p \gtrsim 5 \times 10^{10}$ GeV (for CMB background). Greisen ’66; Zatsepin, Kuzmin ’66

$$p \gamma \rightarrow \Delta^+ \rightarrow p \pi^0,$$
$$p \gamma \rightarrow \Delta^+ \rightarrow n \pi^+.$$ (29) (30)

UHE neutrinos produced as a by-product as $\pi^+$ and $n$ decays are called cosmogenic neutrinos. Berezinsky, Zatsepin ’69

The luminosity: Engel, Seckel, Stanev ’01; Kalashev, Kuzmin, Semikoz, Sigl ’02

$$\mathcal{L}_\nu^{\text{cosm}} = N_0 (1 + z)^{n-1} \phi(E').$$ (31)
Astrophysical Sources

- Gamma ray bursts and active galactic nuclei can also produce UHE neutrinos as the accelerated protons from these sources interact with the ambient photons in those SOURCES. Waxman, Bachall '97; Stecker, Done, Salamon, Sommers '91

- The redshift evolution of these sources is stronger than the star formation rate history ($\beta_{\text{grb}} \approx 1.5$, $\beta_{\text{agn}} \approx 2$). Robertson, Ellis '12; Hasinger, Miyaji, Schmit '05

$$\frac{dN}{dz} = A \eta_{SFR}(z)(1 + z)^{\beta} \frac{dV_c}{dz} \frac{1}{1 + z},$$

$$(32)$$

$$L_{\nu}^{\text{GRB}} = j_0 \frac{dN}{dz} \left[ \frac{E(1 + z)}{E_{\text{max}}} \right]^{-2} \Theta[E(1 + z) - E_{\text{min}}] \Theta[E_{\text{max}} - E(1 + z)].$$

$$(33)$$
UHE Neutrino Fluxes, Detectability Limits/Upper Bounds  

Fig from Lunardini, ES, Yang '13

Cosmic Necklaces: Berezinsky, Martin, Vilenkin '97; Super Heavy Dark Matter (SHDM): Berezinsky, Kachelriess, Vilenkin '98; Kuzmin, Rubakov '98; Esmaili, Ibarra, Peres '12: Cosmic String Cusps: Berezinsky, ES, Vilenkin '11; Cosmic String Kinks: Lunardini, ES '12; Superconducting Cosmic Strings: Berezinsky, ES, Olum, Vilenkin '09; Active Galactic Nuclei: Kalashev, Kuzmin, Semikoz, Sigl '02; Cosmogenic Neutrinos: Berezinsky, Zatsepin '69; Engel, Seckel, Stanev '01.
Observed UHE Neutrino Fluxes

Figure: Normal hierarchy with lightest masses $m = 10^{-5}, 10^{-3}, 0.02, 0.08$ eV. Red curve represents the detectability limit of SKA.
Conclusions

- For a hierarchical neutrino mass spectrum (with at least one neutrino with mass below $\sim 10^{-2}$ eV), thermal effects are important for UHE neutrino sources at $z \gtrsim 10$.

- The neutrino transmission probability shows no more than two separate suppression dips, since the two lightest mass states contribute as a single species when thermal effects are included.

- Resonant suppression effects are strong for sources that extend beyond $z \sim 10$, which can be realized for certain top down scenarios like superheavy dark matter decays, cosmic strings and cosmic necklaces.

- For these, a broad suppression valley should affect the neutrino spectrum at least in the energy interval $10^{12} - 10^{13}$ GeV.

- Observation of absorption effects would indicate: 
  UHE$\nu$ sources beyond $z \sim 10 \rightarrow$ top-down mechanisms.
  Existence of C$\nu$B.
  Density/distribution of C$\nu$B.

**Stay tuned for the UHE$\nu$ broadcasts @ Moon/Antarctica.**